

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/758,580	01/15/2004	Phillip C. Harris	2003-IP-011105UI 5915	
7590 01/04/2006			EXAMINER	
Robert A. Kent			FULLER, BRYAN A	
Halliburton End	ergy Services			
2600 South 2nd Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Duncan, OK 73536-0440			3676	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/758,580	HARRIS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bryan A. Fuller	3676
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address –
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 C</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and	from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the applicant's amendment filed 10/28/2005. Claims 5 - 20 have been finally rejected.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 5 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parris et al (6,011,075).

With respect to claims 5 – 7 and 10 - 12: Parris et al teaches in column 1, line 12 – column 7, line 15 a method of fracturing or treating a subterranean formation comprising the steps of: providing a reduced friction fracturing fluid comprising an aqueous liquid, carbon dioxide, and a polymer comprising acrylamide and an acrylamide copolymer derivative; placing the reduced friction fracturing fluid into a subterranean formation through a well bore at a pressure sufficient to create or extend at least one fracture therein; and, reducing the friction of the reduced friction fracturing fluid due to the step of placing the reduced friction fracturing fluid into the subterranean formation through the well bore. It is inherent that the friction is reduced due to the step of placing the reduced friction fracturing fluid into the subterranean formation through the well bore.

Additionally, the reference teaches a method wherein the polymer comprises from about 10-85% acrylamide and from about 15-90% of an acrylamide copolymer derivative, and more specifically wherein the polymer comprises 20-60% acrylamide and from about 40-80% of an acrylamide copolymer derivative.

With respect to claims 8 and 13: Parris et al teaches in column 4, line 60 – column 5, line 18 a method wherein the polymer further comprises acrylic acid.

With respect to claims 9 and 14: Parris et al teaches in column 1, lines 57 – 63 and in column 3, lines 31 – 51 a method wherein the reduced friction fracturing fluid further comprises proppant or particulates.

With respect to claims 17 and 19: Parris et al teaches in column 1, lines 52 – 67 a method wherein the reduced friction fracturing fluid is a foam, an emulsion, or a gel.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 15 16, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parris et al in view of Reddy et al (6,607,035).

With respect to claims 15 – 16, 18, and 20: Parris et al teaches the features as claimed except for wherein a specific acrylamide copolymer derivative. Reddy et al teaches in column 3, lines 51 – 64 the method wherein a specific acrylamide copolymer

Art Unit: 3676

derivative. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Parris et al's invention in view of Reddy et al, because they are biodegradable and/or non-toxic.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5 - 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP .

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3676

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan A. Fuller whose telephone number is (571) 272-8119. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Th 7:30 - 5:00 and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian E. Glessner can be reached on (571) 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian E. Glessner

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3676

baf